

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/003,187	10/29/2001	Kent Massey	9698-2 US 2	4113
23973 DRINKER RI	7590 06/07/2007 DDLE & REATH	EXAMINER		
ATTN: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP			HOSSAIN, FARZANA E	
ONE LOGAN 18TH AND C	SQUARE HERRY STREETS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPI	HIA, PA 19103-6996		2623	
,				
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2007	PAPER '

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	•				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/003,187	MASSEY, KENT			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Farzana E. Hossain	2623			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 S	eptember 2006.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	•			
3)	• •	application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ı. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.	wn from consideration.				
7)	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>03/30/2006</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyar tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
A44.c = 1		•				
Attachmer 1) Notice	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Toterview S	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Neterlandes Office (FTO-032) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/18/2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. This office action is in response to communications filed 09/18/2006. Claims 1-6 are amended. Claim 7 has been previously presented.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Regarding Claim 1, The applicant argues that Haberman does not discloses imputing a viewer's preferences based on the viewer's selected decisions from a set of

Art Unit: 2623

alternative decisions presented to the viewer at branching points and presenting a scene sequence after the branching point that corresponds to the selected alternative decisions (Page 11). The applicant also argues that Zigmond odes not make up for the shortcomings of Haberman (Page 11)

In response to the arguments, Zigmond is not used to reject claim 1. Haberman in combination with Shiels is used to reject Claim 1. Shiels discloses tracking the viewer's cumulative selected decisions and imputing that particular viewer's preferences and interests based on the viewer's selected decisions or saving the settings during an interactive session so that future sessions do not have saved settings as values instead of default values and also capture scenes which allow the user to capture scenes and the user may be provided with the ability to call up scenes and replace the current scene with a flashback and maintaining a history of user inputs (Column 9, lines 7-67, Column 10, lines 1-3, Column 2, lines 9-12, Column 12, lines 7-10).

4. Applicant's arguments filed 09/18/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding Claim 3, Applicant argues that Haberman and Shiels do not disclose imputing a viewer's preferences based on the viewer's selected decisions from a set of alternative decisions presented to the viewer at branching points and presenting a scene sequence after the branching point that corresponds to the selected alternative decisions (Page 11). The applicant further argues that Shiels although has differing

narrative paths, that the segments presented to a viewer any given pathway is not varied by viewer's choice (Page 12).

In response to the argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees. First of all, the claim limitation does not include the language of imputing viewer's preferences or attributing viewer's preferences in Claim 3. Shiels clearly disclose neutral scenes and alternative scenes correspond to the viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions. Shiels does disclose imputing viewer's preferences (See arguments of Claim 1). Haberman and Shiels disclose the limitations presented in the claim. The applicant is arguing that segments are not varied by viewer's choice even though the viewer is selecting the different narrative paths. Shiels clearly discloses that different scene sequences are presented based on decisions.

5. Applicant's arguments filed 09/18/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding claims 5 and 6, the applicant argues that Green does not disclose imputing a viewer's preferences based on the viewer's selected decisions from a set of alternative decisions presented to the viewer at branching points and presenting a scene sequence after the branching point that corresponds to the selected alternative decisions.

In response to the argument, the examiner respectfully disagrees. First of all, the claim limitation does not include the language of imputing viewer's preferences or attributing viewer's preferences. The newly added limitation for claim 5 is "delivering

Application/Control Number: 10/003,187 Page 5

Art Unit: 2623

some of the potentially viewable scenes to the viewer as branching points at which alterative decisions are presented that will determine a scene sequence to be presented to the viewer" which is met by "the viewer is presented with alternative decisions after a display and from different queries which will take the user to different scenes based on the decision" as disclosed by Green (Column 5, lines 31-34, Figures 8B, 9, 9A, Figure 1, 12, Figure 13, 150). Claim 6 has similar limitations. Green discloses imputing users preferences and interests based on alternative decisions (Figure 9A, Figure 12A).

6. Although the above claims were discussed in the interview, the claim limitations still do not overcome the prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Green et al (US 6,041,310 and hereafter referred to as "Green").

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 5, Green discloses a method for presenting digital video work for marketing products or services to potential purchasers, wherein content of the interactive digital video work can be customized based upon each viewer's decisions, (Abstract), the method comprising the steps of:

providing a plurality of potentially viewable scenes (Figure 1, 12, Column 5, lines 31-34) to deliver to a viewer in a plurality of modules (Figure 13, 160), each module corresponding to a product or service, wherein the potentially viewable scenes of each such module provide information about attributes (figure 13, 162) of the product or service (Column 11, line 66 -Column 12, line 12). Green discloses an inventory list 120 shown in figure 12A that allows the customer to select a car to view more information regarding "product" or car.

Green discloses, for attributes (162 -figure 13) which are common to more than one product or service, producing some of the potentially viewable scenes to provide comprehensive information about the attribute and alternative scenes to provide abbreviated information about the attribute (Column 11, lines 8-67, Column 12, lines 1-12). Green discloses a minimum number of cars or "products" are needed to compile inventory list (Figure 9A, 120) and if a car does not exactly match the customers query, but can closely match the customers query, the car will be added to inventory list in order to make a complete list (Figure 9A, 120, Column 11, lines 8-40). Green discloses when a customer selects a car that is an exact match to the customer's query, the customer is provided with selected vehicle screen with comprehensive scenes regarding the car (Figure 13) and this screen may provide the customer with more

information regarding the transmission, such as the car has a 4 speed automatic (Figure 13). Green discloses that when a customer later selects a car that only comes close to matching after viewing all the cars that exactly matched first, the customer is provided selected vehicle screen 160 and is provided with abbreviated scenes as the selected car may not include all the same features as a previously viewed car that matched the customer's query (Figure 13, Figure 12A).

Green discloses presenting to the viewer alternative decisions that allow the viewer to select an order in which modules will be presented by disclosing inventory list allows the customer to view a list of cars or "products" with common attributes and facilitates the customer to view more information regarding a car by selecting an individual car (Figure 9A, 120). In response to the selection, the user will view selected vehicle screen 160 or "module" and has the option to return back to inventory list 120 to select another individual vehicle (Figure 13, Figure 12, Figure 9A). Therefore, the customer is presented with alternative decisions that allow the customer to select the order selected vehicle screen 160 or "modules" are shown.

Green discloses delivering some of the potentially viewable scenes to the viewer as branching points at which alterative decisions are presented that will determine a scene sequence to be presented to the viewer or the viewer is presented with alternative decisions after a display and from different queries which will take the user to different scenes based on the decision (Column 5, lines 31-34, Figures 8B, 9, 9A, Figure 1, 12, Figure 13, 150).

Art Unit: 2623

Green discloses, enabling the viewer to select one of the alternative decisions or a user may input information or commands by simply using the touch screen and pressing on one of the displayed choices (Column 6, lines 37-40). Green teaches, prompting the viewer to make one of the alternative decisions or top of inventory list screen 120, a prompt displayed to the customer to instruct the customer how to select a vehicle to view more information regarding the car or "product" (Figure 12A, Column 11, lines 8-65).

Green teaches in response to the viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions, presenting to the viewer, in each module (Figure 13, 160) that correspond to the selected by the alternative decision that can be presented in a different order, the scenes providing comprehensive information for attributes not previously presented to the viewer in an earlier module and the alternative scenes providing abbreviated information for attributes previously presented to the viewer in an earlier module or when a minimum number of cars or "products" do not exactly match the customers query, the inventory list 120 will include cars or "products" that come close to matching in order to meet the minimum number of cars to be shown requirement (Column 11, lines 8-51). Therefore, when a customer selects a car that exactly matches the query, the customer is provided selected vehicle screen 160 with comprehensive scenes regarding the car and when a customer later selects a car that only comes close to matching after viewing all the cars that exactly matched first, the customer is provided selected vehicle screen 160 and is provided with abbreviated scenes as the selected car does not include all the same features as the previously viewed car.

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 6, Green discloses a method for presenting digital video work for marketing products or services to potential purchasers wherein content of the interactive digital video work can be customized based upon each viewer's decisions (Abstract), the method comprising the steps of: providing a plurality of potentially viewable scenes (12 -figure 1; Column 5, lines 31-34) to deliver to a viewer in a plurality of modules (160 -figure 13), each module corresponding to a product or service, wherein the potentially viewable scenes of each such module provide information about attributes (162 -figure 13) of the product or service (Column 11, line 38 -Column 12, line 12). Green discloses a customer can select an individual car or "product" displayed on inventory list (Figure 12A, 120), and receive more selected vehicle screen 130 or "module" in response to the customer's request, with selected vehicle screen 130 showing the features 162 or "attributes" of the selected car or "product".

Green teaches, in at least one module (Figure 12A, 120), providing basic scenes which provide information about an attribute that are presented to the viewer when the module is viewed, and providing a set of alternative scenes which are only presented to the viewer in response to an interactive request by the viewer for additional information (Column 11, line 30-67, Column 12, lines 1-15). Once the customer finishes compiling the query, the results are displayed on inventory list 120 or "basic scene" which provides basic information regarding attributes for each car or "product". For example inventory list 120 provides basic information regarding the transmission of each car. If the customer would like more information regarding the transmission of a particular car,

Art Unit: 2623

the customer can touch the description of the car to receive selected vehicle screen 130 or "alternative scenes".

Green teaches, presenting to the viewer at branching points that follow a basic scene providing information about an attribute alternative decisions that determine the next scene sequence to be presented to the viewer, or "basic scene" allows the customer to view a list of cars or "products" with common attributes and facilitates the customer to view more information regarding a car by touching the description of an individual car. In response to the selection, the user will view selected vehicle screen 160. If the customer wishes to turn the down more information, the customer can touch return to main menu (Figure 12A, 110).

Green teaches, enabling the viewer to select one of the alternative decisions (Column 6, lines 37-40). Green discloses a user may input information or commands by simply using the touch screen and pressing on one of the displayed choices.

Green teaches, prompting the viewer to select one of the alternative decisions (figure 12A, Column 11, lines 8-65). Green discloses on the top of inventory list screen 120, a prompt displayed to the customer to instruct the customer how to select a vehicle to view more information regarding the car or "product". Green teaches, presenting to the viewer in response to the viewer's selected alternative decision the set of alternative scenes that correspond to the selected alternative decision (Column 11, lines 66-67, Column 12, lines 1-12).

Application/Control Number: 10/003,187 Page 11

Art Unit: 2623

Regarding Claim 7, Green discloses all the limitations of Claim 6. Green teaches for attributes which are common to more than one product or service, recalling whether the viewer made an alternative decision regarding the same attribute in an earlier viewed module (Column 9, lines 15-32). Green discloses at transmission screen 90, a customer can choose which particular type of transmission is desired. By choosing a particular transmission, the system can better select vehicles that all have the same transmission or "attribute". So, therefore when inventory list 120 is compiled, the system will recall the decision made earlier at transmission screen 90 by the customer.

Green teaches, if the viewer has made an alternative decision requesting or declining additional information about the same attribute in a previously viewed module, not prompting the viewer to make the same decision in a later module by disclosing as the customer adds to the query, the system will not ask the customer again which transmission is preferred.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haberman et al (US 2002/0013943 and hereafter referred to as "Haberman") in view of Shiels et al (US 5,737,527 and hereafter referred to as "Shiels").

Regarding Claim 1, Haberman discloses a method for the simultaneous creation, assembly and transmission of synchronous multiple personalized message to specific targeted individual or other entities or presenting an interactive digital video work that can customize the content presented after branching points to a particular viewer based upon the viewer's preferences (Abstract, Figure 3, Figure 6, slots), the method comprising the steps of:

(a) providing a plurality of potentially viewable scenes to deliver information content to a viewer (Figure 3). Haberman discloses providing to viewers personalized messages and commercials that are more relevant given their personal situation. Personalized messages can be part of traditional broadcast (digital) television, advanced broadcast (digital) television (incl. video on demand) or streamed programs on the Internet (Page 2, paragraph 0022). Haberman teaches creating different options or "sequences" of each slot or "modules", multiple versions of an entire video feed can be combined (Page 3, paragraphs 00040-0041). Haberman teaches determining probable personal preferences of categories of viewers" by disclosing to personalize a commercial (Figure 3, 64) for each viewer, the viewer-specific path through each template of the commercial (i.e., the selection of the option to play for each slot) will be selected at the latest moment possible (Just-In-Time-Advertising-JITA), based on information (Figure 2, 62) available on that viewer (e.g., from customer databases)

Page 13

Art Unit: 2623

(Page 4, paragraph 0048). Haberman teaches producing some of such scenes as alternative scenes having content that is associated to such personal preferences or commercial for vacationing in Bermuda which can be customized to showcase a variety of activities to a viewer (Figure 6). Further, STB 58 can make the final selection from the parts by matching the personalization information 62 against the user profile for each of the possible choices (Page 3, paragraphs, 0040-0041, Page 4, paragraphs 0046-0053). Haberman teaches a commercial that is customized based on the viewers' sex, and hobbies or interest (Figure 6) and a slot or "module" is provided for default activity that comprises three or more activities that can be shown to the viewer depending on the known sex of the viewer and the known interests about the viewer. For example a young woman may be targeted with sequence about tennis and a young family may be targeted with a sequence about scuba. Haberman further discloses information can be obtained about viewers from data mining organizations (Page 2, paragraph 0016). Therefore, information about the viewer, like interests and hobbies, can be interspersed with other scenes within a commercial so a personalized message can be created and targeted towards a specific demographic of viewer.

Haberman is silent on users making decisions at each branching point including delivering some of the scenes to the viewer as the branching points at which alterative decisions are presented to the viewer that will determine the next scene sequence to be presented to the viewer; for each alterative decision at each branching point, having available to present to the viewer a scene sequence corresponding to the alternative decision; enabling the view to select one of the alternative decisions; in response to the

viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions, presenting the scene sequence that corresponds to the selected decision; tracking the viewer's cumulative selected decisions and imputing that particular viewer's preferences and interests based on the viewer's selected decisions; producing one or more sets of variation scenes that introduce the information content that address the different possible viewer preferences and interests, based on previous decisions selected from among the alternative decisions presented prior to the scene sequence, each set of variation scenes being associated with a scene that is viewable after the branching points; and when the viewer is brought to a scene sequence that contains one of the sets of variation scenes, interspersing into the scene sequence the variation scene corresponding to the viewer's imputed preferences and interests, based on the viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions from among the alternative decisions presented prior to the scene sequence.

Shiels discloses a method of presenting an interactive digital video work that can customize the content presented after branching points to a particular viewer based upon the viewer's preferences (Figure 6), providing a plurality of potentially viewable scenes to deliver information content to a viewer (Figure 6, Column 7, lines 2-18); delivering some of the scenes to the viewer as the branching points at which alterative decisions are presented to the viewer that will determine the next scene sequence to be presented to the viewer (Column 7, lines 2-18); for each alternative decision at each branching point, having available to present to the viewer a scene sequence corresponding to the alternative decision (Figure 6); enabling the viewer to select one of the alternative decisions (Column 7, lines 32-41); in response to the viewer's selected

Art Unit: 2623

one of the alternative decisions, presenting the scene sequence that corresponds to the selected decision (Figure 6, B, C, D, E). Shiels discloses tracking the viewer's cumulative selected decisions and imputing that particular viewer's preferences and interests based on the viewer's selected decisions or saving the settings during an interactive session so that future sessions do not have saved settings as values instead of default values and also capture scenes which allow the user to capture scenes and the user may be provided with the ability to call up scenes and replace the current scene with a flashback (Column 9, lines 7-67, Column 10, lines 1-3, Column 2, lines 9-12, Column 12, lines 7-10). Shiels discloses producing one or more sets of variation scenes that introduce the information content that address the different possible viewer preferences and interests, based on previous decisions selected from among the alternative decisions presented prior to the scene sequence, each set of variation scenes being associated with a scene that is viewable after the branching points (Column 9, lines 7-67, Column 10, lines 1-3, Column 2, lines 9-12, Column 12, lines 7-10); and when the viewer is brought to a scene sequence that contains one of the sets of variation scenes, interspersing into the scene sequence the variation scene corresponding to the viewer's imputed preferences and interests, based on the viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions from among the alternative decisions presented prior to the scene sequence (Figure 3, Column 9, lines 7-67, Column 10, lines 1-3, Column 2, lines 9-12, Column 12, lines 7-10).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Haberman with the teachings of Shiels in order

to prompt the viewer to make on of the alternative decisions that will determine the order of a subsequent module and providing neutral scenes that do not depend from the alternative scenes that were previously chosen. One would have been motivated to make this modification for the benefit of facilitating user interaction with branch-structured commercial to better personalize the commercial for the viewer (Summary) as disclosed by Shiels.

Regarding Claim 3, Haberman discloses a method for presenting an interactive digital video work for marketing products or services to potential purchasers, wherein content of the interactive digital video work can be customized based upon each viewer's decisions (Abstract, Page 1, paragraphs 0009-0011), the method comprising the steps of:

(a) providing a plurality of potentially viewable scenes to deliver to a viewer in a plurality of modules, each module containing potentially viewable scenes about a product or service or creating options or sequences for each slot or module, where multiple versions of an entire video feed can be combined (Figure 3, Figure 6, Page 3, paragraph 0040-0041). Haberman teaches, presenting to the viewer neutral scenes interspersed with alternative scenes that are appropriate to the relative order in which the subsequent module is presented (Figure 6), common or "neutral scenes" (Figure 6, D-5 and D-11). The common or "neutral scenes" will be shown in the commercial and viewed by everyone no matter whether the target audience be a young woman or a young family.

Art Unit: 2623

However, Haberman fails to explicitly disclose in at least one of the modules, presenting to the viewer alternative decisions that will determine an order in which at a subsequent module will be presented; enabling the viewer to select one of the alternative decisions; in each module that can be presented in a different order, providing neutral scenes in which the content is not dependant upon the order in which the module is viewed, providing sets of alternative scenes in which the content is dependant upon the order in which the module is viewed; and prompting the viewer to make one of the alternative decisions that will determine the order of a subsequent module and presenting the neutral scenes interspersed with alternative scenes that correspond to viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions.

In analogous art, Shiels discloses a branched narrative structure starting with a common introductory portion (Figure 6, Column 7, lines 2-46). Shiels discloses in at least one of the modules, presenting to the viewer a set of alternative decisions each alternative decision determining an order in which a subsequent module will be presented or asking the user which path the narrative will take, with the user navigating through the network of possible story lines to reach one of the four possible endings and effectively determining the order in which the modules are viewed (Column 7, lines 5-8) and a menu of possible options may be displayed asking the user to make a selection using a user input device (Column 7, lines 33-40).

Shiels discloses enabling the viewer to select one of the alterative decisions or a list of options will be displayed to the viewer when a decision is need (Column 7, lines 33-40).

Art Unit: 2623

Shiels discloses in each module that can be presented in a different order, providing neutral scenes in which the content is not dependant upon the order in which the module is viewed, and providing sets of alternative scenes in which the content is dependant upon the order in which the module is viewed or common nodes H, J, and K which may appear in the narrative regardless of which path is chosen at node A (Column 7, lines 2-18). Shiels further teaches providing alternative ending scenes W-Z, which are dependent on the decisions, made by the viewer at the previous nodes or "modules" (Column 7, lines 2-46).

Shiels further discloses prompting the viewer to select one of the alternative decisions that will determine the order of a subsequent module or an interaction period may be indicated to the viewer by displaying a menu of possible options on the screen and allowing to user to select one of the displayed options (Column 7, lines 32-46).

Shiels discloses presenting to the viewer neutral scenes interspersed with alternative scenes that correspond to the viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions and are appropriate to the relative order in which the subsequent module is presented or that common nodes are displayed based on one of the alternative decisions made by the viewer (Column 7, lines 2-18).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Haberman with the teachings of Shiels in order to prompt the viewer to make on of the alternative decisions that will determine the order of a subsequent module and providing neutral scenes that do not depend from the alternative scenes that were previously chosen. One would have been motivated to

Art Unit: 2623

make this modification for the benefit of facilitating user interaction with branchstructured commercial to better personalize the commercial for the viewer (Summary) as disclosed by Shiels.

Regarding Claim 2, Haberman and Shiels disclose all the limitations of Claim 2. Haberman discloses that the work is used for marketing products or services to potential purchasers, the plurality of potentially viewable digital scenes conveying information about such products or services; and the personal preferences are preferences for the use of such products or services or a video template set up for a campaign to provide personalized commercials for vacationing in Bermuda (Page 4, paragraphs 0046-0049, Figure 6). Haberman discloses that the video template comprises multiple slots configured to target the commercial towards a demographic and towards an interest of the user, a young woman can be targeted with a personalized commercial for vacationing in Bermuda that showcases scuba diving (Figure 6). Shiels discloses personal preferences (Figure 3, Column 9, lines 7-67, Column 10, lines 1-3, Column 2, lines 9-12, Column 12, lines 7-10).

Regarding Claim 4, Haberman and Shiels disclose all the limitations of Claim 3. Haberman discloses the step of presenting to the viewer neutral scenes interspersed with alternative scenes that are appropriate to the relative order in which the module is presented includes presenting alternate scenes to avoid repeating information already conveyed to the viewer in previous scenes or the system may keep track of which segments were previously shown to any audience, so in a next transmission, different

Application/Control Number: 10/003,187 Page 20

Art Unit: 2623

segments not before seen by the audience can be shown (Figure 3, Page 2, paragraph 0040-0042). Shiels discloses presenting to the viewer neutral scenes interspersed with alternative scenes that correspond to the viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions and are appropriate to the relative order in which the module is presented (Column 7, lines 2-46).

Double Patenting

11. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

12. Claim 1 is provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/003,196 in view of Bejan et al (US 5,465,384 and hereafter referred to as "Bejan").

The instant application's "a method of presenting an interactive digital video work that can customize the content presented after branching points to a particular viewer

Art Unit: 2623

based upon viewer's preferences" is met by "a method for structuring scene sequences for interactive entertainment" of Application No. 10/003,196, as interactive entertainment is based on viewer's preferences.

The instant application's "providing a plurality of potentially viewable scenes to deliver information content to a viewer; delivering some of the scenes to the viewer as the branching points at which alternative decisions are presented that will determine the next scene sequence to be presented to the viewer; for each alternative decision at a branching point, having available to present to the viewer a scene sequence corresponding to the alternative decision; enabling the viewer to select one of the alternative decisions; in response to the viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions, presenting the scene sequence that corresponds to the decision" is met by "providing a plurality of potentially viewable scenes to deliver an overall storyline to a viewer; delivering some of the scenes to the viewer as branching points at which alternative decisions are presented that will determine the next scene sequence to be presented to the viewer; for each alternative decision at a branching point, having available to present to the viewer a scene sequence corresponding to the decision; enabling the viewer to select one of the alternative decisions; in response to the viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions, presenting the scene sequence that corresponds to the decision" the limitations of Application No. 10/003,196.

The instant application is missing "structuring the branching points and their related scene sequences such that essentially every set of scene sequences

Art Unit: 2623

determined by viewer decisions eventually reaches at least one linking scene containing content that is not dependant upon the particular decisions made prior to the linking scene; producing one or more sets of variation scenes that introduce content that reflects the consequences of previous decisions selected from among the alternative decisions presented prior to the linking scene, each set of variation scenes being associated with a scene that is viewable after the linking scene or after intersection scene or linking scene branching continues; and when the viewer is brought to a scene sequence that contains a set of variation scenes, interspersing into the scene sequence the variation scene corresponding to the viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions from among the alternative decisions presented prior to the linking scene". It would be obvious to modify the instant application to include the limitation found in Application 10/003,196 as it is taught by prior art. Bejan teaches the additional features (Figure 3).

The instant application's "tracking the viewer's cumulative selected decisions and imputing that particular viewer's preferences and interests based on the viewer's selected decision; producing one or more sets of variation scenes that introduce the information content that address the different possible viewer preferences and interest, based on previous decisions selected from among the alternative decisions presented prior to the scene sequence, each set of variation scenes being associated with a scene that is viewable after the branching points; when the viewer is brought to a scene sequence that contains one of the sets of variation scenes, interspersing into the scene sequence the variation scene corresponding to the viewer's imputed preferences and

Art Unit: 2623

interests, based on the viewer's selected one of the alternative decisions from among the alternative decisions presented prior to the scene sequence" are additional features. It would have been obvious to modify Application No. 10/003,196 to include these limitations.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Farzana E. Hossain whose telephone number is 571-272-5943. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on 571-272-7331. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/003,187 Page 24

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

FEH May 17, 2007

> SCOTT E. BELIVEAU PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER